**PEER REVIEW FORM**

**Project title:** Rust Code Analysis as a Service **Your name:** Arsh Kabarwal

Please rate each one of your team members (M1-M4) along the attributes in the two tables below[[1]](#footnote-1), using a 7-point Likert scale (also shown below.)

**Name of team member assessed**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **M1:** Joseph Carpman | **M3:** Olivia Ornelas |
| **M2:** Kipp Corman | **M4:** |

**PART 1: Personal evaluation**

|  |
| --- |
| *0 1 2 3 4 5 6*  *Not at all Slightly Quite Extremely* |

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | **Ratings** | | | |
| **M1** | **M2** | **M3** | **M4** |
| To what degree does the team member display a **positive attitude**? | 6 | 6 | 6 |  |
| To what degree does the team member display a **selfless motive**? | 6 | 6 | 6 |  |
| To what degree is the team member **objective**? | 6 | 6 | 6 |  |
| To what degree does the team member behave in a **cordial manner**? | 6 | 6 | 6 |  |
| To what degree does the team member **suppress egotism**? | 6 | 6 | 6 |  |
| To what degree does the team member exhibit **patience**? | 6 | 6 | 6 |  |
| To what degree is the team member willing to put the **wellbeing of the team** ahead of the wellbeing of self? | 6 | 6 | 6 |  |
| To what degree does the team member **include and support** other team members? | 6 | 6 | 6 |  |

**PART 2: Technical evaluation**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | |  | | | |
| **M1** | **M2** | **M3** | **M4** |
| **Contribution to the team project/work (according to the scale below)** | | 6 | 6 | 6 |  |
| *0*  *2*  *4*  *6* | *Does not collect any relevant information; does not offer any useful suggestions to address team's needs;*  *Collects information when prodded; tries to offer some ideas, but not well developed, and not clearly expressed, to meet team's needs;*  *Collects basic, useful information related to the project; occasionally offers useful ideas to meet the team's needs;*  *Collects and presents to the team a great deal of relevant information; offers well-developed and clearly expressed ideas directly related to the group's purpose.* | | | | |
| **Taking responsibility (according to the scale below)** | | 6 | 6 | 6 |  |
| *0*  *2*  *4*  *6* | *Does not perform assigned tasks; often misses meetings and, when present, does not have anything constructive to say; relies on others to do the work;*  *Performs assigned tasks but needs many reminders; attends meetings regularly but generally does not say anything constructive; sometimes expects others to do his/her work;*  *Performs all assigned tasks; attends meetings regularly and usually participates effectively; generally reliable;*  *Performs all tasks very effectively; attends all meetings and participates enthusiastically; very reliable.* | | | | |

Are there any praises or issues that you would like to bring to our attention?

|  |
| --- |
|  |

1. Adapted from <http://www.cse.ohio-state.edu/~neelam/abet/DIRASSMNT/secondGroup.html> and [http://www.developingteamwork.com](http://www.developingteamwork.com/) [↑](#footnote-ref-1)